From:	Moody, Dustin (Fed)
To:	Chen, Lily (Fed); Perlner, Ray A. (Fed); Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed); Jordan, Stephen P (Fed); Daniel C Smith (daniel-c.smith@louisville.edu) (daniel-c.smith@louisville.edu); Peralta, Rene C. (Fed)
Subject:	"Shall" vs "must" in the PQC CFP
Date:	Thursday, May 5, 2016 1:12:45 PM
Attachments:	CFP v9.docx

Everyone,

A few of the comments we received back last week dealt with using the terms "shall" and "must". I believe "shall" has a very strict meaning for our standards documents. To my mind, the word "must" means the same thing, but maybe isn't quite as strong. In the attached (cleaned-up) version of the CFP, we have 60 uses of "shall" and 19 of "must". Can everyone search through the document, using CONTROL+F, and see if any of the "shall"s or "must"s cause us any problems? Or if we should switch any of the "shall"s to "must"s, or even to "should"? I read through them all, and they seemed fine to me. Thanks,

Dustin